

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS Washington, D.C. 20231 www.uspito.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/606,617	06/29/2000	Gregory W. Bruening	USW#-1750	7650
22193 7	7590 01/30/2002			
QWEST COMMUNICATIONS INTERNATIONAL INC LAW DEPT INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY GROUP 1801 CALIFORNIA STREET, SUITE 3800			EXAMINER	
			BUI, BING Q	
DENVER, CO	80202		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2642 DATE MAILED: 01/30/2002	4

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

Applicant(s)

09/606,617

Examiner

Bruening Art Unit

		Bing Bui	2642			
	The MAILING DATE of this communication appears	on the cover sheet with the corres	pondence addre	·ss		
A SHO	or Reply DRTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.					
- Exten aft - If the	sions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C er SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communic period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days considered timely. period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory	cation. s, a reply within the statutory minimur	m of thirty (30) da	ıys will		
coı - Failur - Anv r	period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory mmunication. e to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, be eply received by the Office later than three months after the treed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	v statute, cause the application to bed	come ABANDONE	D (35 U.S.C. § 133).		
Status	B	2001				
1)[X	Responsive to communication(s) filed on Nov 15,			•		
2a) 🗌		tion is non-final.	•			
3) 🗆	3)☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11; 453 O.G. 213.					
-	tion of Claims					
	Claim(s) <u>1-21</u>					
4	la) Of the above, claim(s)	is/a	re withdrawn fi	rom consideration.		
5) 🗆	Claim(s)		is/are allowed			
6) 💢	Claim(s) 1-9, 11-15, and 17-21		is/are rejected	•		
7) 💢	Claim(s) 10 and 16					
8) 🗆	Claims					
Applica	tion Papers					
9) 🗆	The specification is objected to by the Examiner.					
10)	The drawing(s) filed onis/ar	e objected to by the Examiner.				
11)						
12)□	The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exam					
	under 35 U.S.C. § 119		•			
	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a	ı)-(d).			
	☐ All b)☐ Some* c)☐ None of:					
	1. Certified copies of the priority documents ha					
	2. \square Certified copies of the priority documents ha					
*0	3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Buree the attached detailed Office action for a list of the second control of the certified copies of the priority application.	reau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		Stage		
S 14)□	Acknowledgement is made of a claim for domesti					
Attachn		18) Interview Summary (PTO-413) Pape	er No(s).			
	lotice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)	19) Notice of Informal Patent Application				
	nformation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s).	20) Other:				

Art Unit: 2642

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

1. Claims 1-9, 11-15 and 17-21 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Smyk (US Pat No. 6,128,379) in view of Birckbichler (US Pat No. 5,796,806).

Regarding claim 1, Smyk teaches the invention substantially as claimed, a method for identifying a caller including the steps of:

- a) attempting a call to a subscriber line connected to a computer network (Fig 5; col 2, ln 31-34 and col 4, ln 42-col 5, ln 12);
- b) determining that the subscriber line is connected to the computer network (Fig 5; col 2, ln 31-34 and col 4, ln 42-col 5, ln 12);

Smyk differs from claimed invention in that it does not teach the steps of:

- c) in response to said step b), prompting the caller to provide identification;
- d) receiving audible identification from a caller;
- e) providing the audible identification via the computer network and the subscriber line.

However it is obvious that Smyk suggests the method of verifying a caller authentication in form of voice identification by means of voice recognition performed by an Intelligent Peripheral or Intelligent Data Peripheral (col 1, ln 17-32).

, 1) , 40 Application/Control Number: 09/606,617

Art Unit: 2642

Birckbichler teaches:

- c) in response to said step b), prompting the caller to provide identification (Abstract; Figs 1-2 and col 2, In 26-col 3, In 57);
- d) receiving audible identification from a caller (Abstract; Figs 1-2 and col 2, In 26-col 3, In 57).
- e) providing the audible identification to the subscriber line (Abstract; Figs 1-2 and col 2, In 26-col 3, In 57).

Having the cited art at the time the invention was made, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to add the method of collecting and recording audible caller identification and playing back such identification to a subscriber as taught by Birckbichler to Smyk's invention for transparently and friendly enabling a called party to decide whether or not to accept the call.

Regarding claims 2-3, Birckbichler further teaches the step of recording the audible identification and sending the recording the audible identification via the subscriber line (Abstract; Figs 1-2 and col 2, In 26-col 3, In 57).

Regarding claim 4, Birckbichler further teaches the method for identifying a caller further including the steps of:

f) before said step c), determining whether calling party information is present in response to said step b) (Abstract; Figs 1-2 and col 2, In 26-col 3, In 57);

Application/Control Number: 09/606,617

Art Unit: 2642

g) determining that the calling party information is not present; and h) performing said step c) in response to said step g) (Abstract; Figs 1-2 and col 2, In 26-col 3, In 57).

Regarding claim 5, Birckbichler further teaches the method for identifying a caller further including the steps of:

- I) Detecting a trigger at the subscriber line in said step a) (Abstract; Figs 1-2 and col 2, In 26-col 3, In 57);
- j) performing said step f) in response to said step I) (Abstract; Figs 1-2 and col 2, In 26-col 3, In 57).

Regarding claim 6, Birckbichler further teaches the method for identifying a caller further including the steps of directing the call to an intelligent peripheral based upon said step g) (Abstract; Figs 1-2 and col 2, In 26-col 3, In 57).

Regarding claim 7, Birckbichler further teaches the method for identifying a caller further including the steps of displaying a plurality of disposition options for call via the subscriber line (Abstract; Figs 1-2 and col 2, In 26-col 3, In 57).

As to claims 8, 12-15 and 17-18, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claims 1-3 above, since claims 8 and 12-15 are merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claims 1-3.

As to claim 9, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 2 above, since claim 9 is merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claim 2.

Art Unit: 2642

As to claim 11, it is rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 6 above, since claim 11 is merely a system for implementing the method defined in the method claim 6.

As to claims 19-21, they are rejected for the same reasons set forth to rejecting claim 1.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. Claims 10 and 16 are objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Response to Arguments

- 3. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-21 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.
- 4. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Bing Bui whose telephone number is (703) 308-5858. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday through Thursday from 7:30 to 5:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Ahmad Matar, can be reached on (703) 305-4731. The fax phone number

Art Unit: 2642

for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9314 and for formal communications intended for entry (please label the response "EXPEDITED PROCEDURE") or for informal or draft communications not intended for entry (please label the response "PROPOSED" or "DRAFT").

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-4700.

BING BUI Jan 27, 2002

AHMAD MATAR
PUDERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600